

****E-filed 3/14/11****

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DANIEL CALIX,
No. C 10-5895 RS

13 v. Plaintiff,
14
15 APPLE, INC.
16 Defendant

COUNSEL PRO HAC VICE AND POTENTIAL CONSOLIDATION OF CASES

18 1. Good cause appearing under the particular circumstances of this action, subject to counsel
19 for plaintiff applying and otherwise qualifying for *pro hac vice* admission, they shall be relieved
20 from the requirement of Civil Local Rule 11-3 (a)(3) to designate local co-counsel.

21 2. In view of the order relating this action to *Gallion v. Apple, Inc.*, 10-1610-RS and *Corsi v*
22 *Apple, Inc.*, 10-3316, and of the order entered in the docket of *Gallion* (but not in *Corsi* or this
23 action) appointing interim lead counsel to serve in all three cases, the parties shall meet and confer
24 to determine if consolidation of the three actions is appropriate. If the parties reach agreement, they
25 shall submit a stipulation to consolidate the actions under a single caption, with the other two case
26 files to be closed. If one or more parties objects to consolidation, or believes there is reason to keep

28

1 more than one case file open, they may file a letter brief setting forth their position within 20 days of
2 the date of this order.

3

4

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6

7 Dated: 3/14/11

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE